

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 TRILLIUM PARTNERS, L.P.,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 CLEAN VISION CORPORATION, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02047-APG-BNW

**Order Dismissing Case for Lack of Subject  
Matter Jurisdiction**

8 Plaintiff Trillium Partners, L.P. filed suit in this court based on diversity jurisdiction.  
9 ECF No. 1. But because Trillium is a Florida citizen and so are some defendants, complete  
10 diversity does not exist. *See Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Servs., Inc.*, 545 U.S. 546, 553  
11 (2005) (“Diversity jurisdiction requires complete diversity, meaning the plaintiff cannot be a  
12 citizen of the same state as any defendant.”). Consequently, I ordered Trillium to show cause  
13 why this case should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. ECF No. 60.  
14 Trillium responds by conceding that subject matter jurisdiction does not exist because complete  
15 diversity among the parties is lacking. ECF No. 64.

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that this case is dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.  
17 The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

18 I FURTHER ORDER that all pending motions are denied without prejudice as moot.

19 DATED this 2nd day of May, 2025.

20   
21 ANDREW P. GORDON  
22 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
23